

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Porus I

**Date Submitted:** 11/21/2022 09:00 PM

**Council File No:** 14-0425-S13

**Comments for Public Posting:** I have to say that the timing of these actions are a bit shady as we once again find ourselves meeting as a community last minute during a holiday week. I find the feelings of this matter on behalf of our counselmember to be aggressive and a bit dismissive of the community. Once again, he sent a statement to the neighborhood council as a rouse to ease his guilty conscience. Not courageous enough to face the opinions of his community but this is normal for Bob who would rather show up for PR move that would only benefit him politically. This is one in a series of the attempts to bully the stakeholders of Reseda. The council member is getting rather sloppy and his moves and motives have become quite obvious. Please stop using us as a scapegoat for your shady deals and please stop lining your pockets with the blood of our community.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Tina Ly

**Date Submitted:** 11/22/2022 01:44 PM

**Council File No:** 14-0425-S13

**Comments for Public Posting:** I strongly oppose this proposal. Why do I constantly find myself disheartened by the actions of our councilman? Why does he continue to blindside us with non transparency proposals? Why is it he continues to sell reseda to its highest bidder? Our community deserves better. We deserve to have a voice . It's also very disappointing that the Reseda neighborhood council are the only ones that care about ResedaRising and under constant attack for calling out those whom benefits for selling out reseda. We, as a community, are sick and tired of being kicked around. We demand more transparency and accountability from those who continues to keep us in the dark.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** DJ Frank

**Date Submitted:** 11/22/2022 02:04 PM

**Council File No:** 14-0425-S13

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am opposed to this fifth amendment as presented. The stakeholders of Reseda were not informed of any of these proposed changes, and to push them through in a rush in this fashion, without the community having had a chance to weigh in smacks of back room dealing and City Council Corruption. And that is something that can be ill afforded at this time. If a Fifth Amendment needs to be passed to meet some deadline, alter what is proposed to simply mirror what was done with the last four amendments, extending the escrow close time. This would then buy the necessary time for the community to weigh in on the desirability of the change in vision of the theatre project, and the wisdom of the change in ownership. The ownership change, in particular, is of major concern. Somehow under CouncilMember Blumenfield's watch, authority was given to complete the housing portion of the development deal, while allowing the theatre to remain fallow and in an ongoing hazardous state of disrepair. Now the Housing portion is done, and the developer wants to sever this profitable moneymaker from the theatre development, one can only assume as a liability shield in case they cannot complete the theatre project. Well, let me be clear, the community is watching, and if this amendment passes, and that is indeed what occurs, the blame will fall squarely onto CM Blumenfield's shoulders. Over the past two decades the stakeholders of Reseda have dreamed of a redevelopment of Reseda that would hearken back to those days when Life magazine proclaimed it "America's Suburb." Those days when the character of Reseda was as the Hub of the West Valley. They have placed all their hopes on the restoration of the iconic Reseda Theatre, believing that would be the seed that would jumpstart the Reseda Renaissance. To deny them any say in determining the future of this project is detestable. The ONLY ethical thing to do is postpone any considerations that make any material change to the DDA other than the deadline of expiration. That way the public can be presented the changes and have an opportunity to have their say. I realize that there is an unspoken rule that City CouncilMembers have a tit-for-tat where they don't oppose things other CouncilMembers want to do in their districts so that they can receive the same courtesy. This should not be. Take a stand against this custom here and now. Deny what CM Blumenfield is trying to rush through without

public input. City CouncilMembers are not kings and queens and we are not their subjects. We have a right to be informed of matters that will affect us deeply. CM Blumenfield, judging by the way he has treated the community of Reseda in his two decades as both a state AssemblyMember and current CouncilMember, must believe differently. He consistently disrespects Reseda and it's working class character, that is if he even believes it has one.. As far as I can tell, judging by his actions, he only sees Reseda as a resource that he can exploit to curry favour with developers, lobbyists, and the Political Powers-That-Be in Sacramento and beyond. Don't be complicit in his agenda. Protect the stakeholders of Reseda, and give them back their right to be heard and informed.